Finite Volume Discretisation in OpenFOAM

Best Practice Guidelines

Hrvoje Jasak

`h.jasak@wikki.co.uk`

Wikki Ltd, United Kingdom

Outline

Objective

- Review the best practice guidelines for the Finite Volume (FV) discretisation in OpenFOAM and compare it with commercial CFD solvers
  - Background on discretisation
  - Default settings on dominantly hex and dominantly tet meshes

Topics

- Background

- Discretisation requirements: gradient scheme

- Discretisation requirements: convection term

- High resolution convection schemes

- Discretisation requirements: diffusion term

- Source and sink terms: discretisation and boundedness

- Stability and boundedness of boundary conditions

- Proposed default settings: hexahedral meshes

- Proposed default settings: tetrahedral meshes

- Summary

Background

Best Practice Guidelines in CFD

- Commercial CFD codes offer robust set of default settings for the FVM: make the code run on a bad mesh and by inexpert users

- Priority is in producing a result: substantial improvements in solution quality and accuracy is possible

- . . . but only for an expert user!

- Default settings are extremely important and change only after large validation and robustness testing campaigns

Default Settings in OpenFOAM

- . . . are practically non-existent: the code is written by experts and defaults are changed on a whim

- Some tutorials have settings appropriate for the case, but not recommended in general

- To remedy this, we need automatic test loops with $5000+$ validation cases

- Improvements are in the pipeline: community effort and validation harness

Discretisation

Finite Volume Discretisation

- Main concerns of FVM accuracy are mesh structure and quality and choice of discretisation schemes

- Mesh structure determines the choice of appropriate gradient calculation algorithm

- For transport of bounded scalars, it is essential to use bounded differencing schemes: both for convection and diffusion

Gradient Scheme

Gauss Gradient Scheme

- Gradient calculated using integrals over faces

$$\int_{V_P} \nabla \phi \, dV = \oint_{\partial V_P} d\mathbf{s} \, \phi = \sum_f \mathbf{s}_f \phi_f$$

- Evaluate the face value of ϕ from cell centre values

$$\phi_f = f_x \phi_P + (1 - f_x)\phi_N$$

  where $f_x = \overline{fN}/\overline{PN}$

- Expression is second-order accurate only if ϕ_f is the face centre value

- Accurate on hexahedral meshes, but looses accuracy on tetrahedra: large skewness error

Gradient Scheme

Least Squares Gradient: Second Order Accuracy On All Meshes

- Consider cell centre P and a cluster of points around it N. Fit a plane:

$$e_N = \phi_N - (\phi_P + \mathbf{d}_N \bullet (\nabla \phi)_P)$$

- Minimising the weighted error: second-order accuracy on all meshes

$$e_P^2 = \sum_N (w_N e_N)^2 \ \text{ where } \ w_N = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{d}_N|}$$

yields a second-order **least-square form of gradient**:

$$(\nabla \phi)_P = \sum_N w_N^2 \, \mathbf{G}^{-1} \bullet \mathbf{d}_N (\phi_N - \phi_P)$$

- $\mathbf{G}$ is a 3×3 symmetric matrix:

$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_N w_N^2 \, \mathbf{d}_N \mathbf{d}_N$$

Gradient Limiter

Cell- and Face-Limited Gradient

- Gradient reconstruction may lead to local over- or under-shoots in reconstructed field:

$$min_N(\phi_N) \leq \phi_P + \mathbf{d}_N \bullet (\nabla \phi)_P \leq max_N(\phi_N)$$

- This is important for bounded variables, especially when gradients are used in further discretisation or coupling terms

- Solution: based on the gradient, calculate min and max neighbourhood value and apply gradient limiter to preserve bounds in cell centres

Gradient Scheme

Notes on Implicit Divergence and Gradient Discretisation

- Block-coupled solver requires implicit discretisation of divergence and gradient operator: positivity of off-diagonal coefficients

- Note: gradient operator on a scalar is a perfect transpose of the vector divergence operator

- Matrix coefficients for Gauss gradient discretisation (and consistent Gauss divergence) are positive on all valid meshes

- . . . but may be unstable or insufficiently accurate

- Implicit least squares gradient may be problematic: special practice has been implemented to guarantee positivity of coefficients in the block-coupled p-U solver

Convection Discretisation

Convection Operator

- Convection operator splits into a sum of face integrals (integral and differential form)

$$\oint_S \phi(\mathbf{n} \bullet \mathbf{u}) dS = \int_V \nabla \bullet (\phi \mathbf{u}) \, dV = \sum_f \phi_f (\mathbf{s}_f \bullet \mathbf{u}_f) = \sum_f \phi_f F$$

where ϕ_f is the face value of ϕ and

$$F = \mathbf{s}_f \bullet \mathbf{u}_f$$

is the **face flux**: measure of the flow through the face

- Simplest face interpolation: **central differencing**. Second-order accurate, but causes oscillations

$$\phi_f = f_x \phi_P + (1 - f_x) \phi_N$$

- **Upwind differencing**: taking into account the transportive property of the term: information comes from upstream. No oscillations, but smears the solution

$$\phi_f = pos(F) \, \phi_P + neg(F) \, \phi_N$$

Face Interpolation

Face Interpolation Scheme for Convection

- In order to close the system, we need a way of evaluating ϕ_f from the cell values ϕ_P and ϕ_N: **face interpolation**

- In order to preserve the iteration sequence, the convection operator for bounded (scalar) properties must preserve boundedness

- There exists a large number of schemes, trying to achieve good accuracy while preserving boundedness: *e.g.* TVD, and NVD families: $\phi_f = f(\phi_P, \phi_N, F, \ldots)$

- Special differencing schemes for strictly bounded scalars: switching to UD when a variable violates the bound. Example: Gamma01

High Resolution Convection Schemes

Choice and Properties of High-Resolution Convection Differencing Schemes

- Based on the sufficient boundedness criterion (positive off-diagonal coefficients), the only bounded convection discretisation scheme is Upwind Differencing (UD)

- For practical use, upwinding is polluted by diffusion-type discretisation error. It can be shown that a convection discretisation scheme which is **bounded** and more than first-order accurate must be **non-linear**: interpolation coefficients depend on the variable

- Practical implementation of high-resolution schemes analyse local variation of the solution via "extended molecule" or gradient-based discretisation. This can be generalised
    - Total Variation Limiting Schemes (TVD)
    - Schemes based on the Normalized Variable Diagrams (NVD)
    - Essentially Non-Oscillatory (ENO) and weighted ENO (WENO) schemes

High Resolution Convection Schemes

TVD and NVD High-Resolution Convection Differencing Schemes

- Conventional high-resolution schemes define the boundedness window
- TVD and NVD schemes: function of consecutive gradients of the variable

$$r = \frac{\phi_C - \phi_U}{\phi_D - \phi_C}$$

$$\widetilde{\phi}_C = \frac{\phi_C - \phi_U}{\phi_D - \phi_U}$$

- Diagrams define "region of boundedness" for a scheme, based on consecutive gradients

High Resolution Convection Schemes

Notes on High-Resolution Schemes

- Consider boundedness of your variable: what is the compromised between boundedness and accuracy?

- **Objective: achieve boundedness with minimal sacrifice of accuracy**

- Do not use high-resolution schemes for unbounded variables

- Using high-resolution schemes for smoothly varying fields brings limited improvement

- **Note: vector (components) are not bounded variables**

How to Pick Your High-Resolution Scheme

- In general-purpose CFD (no discontinuities), the difference in performance between schemes is quite limited

- In cases that combine discontinuities and complex physics, other concerns arise: cross-equation coupling

Diffusion Discretisation

Diffusion Operator and Mesh Non-Orthogonality

- Diffusion term is discretised using the Gauss Theorem

$$\oint_S \gamma(\mathbf{n} \bullet \nabla \phi) dS = \sum_f \int_{S_f} \gamma(\mathbf{n} \bullet \nabla \phi)\, dS = \sum_f \gamma_f\, \mathbf{s}_f \bullet (\nabla \phi)_f$$

- Evaluation of the face-normal gradient. If $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{d}_f = \overline{PN}$ are aligned, use difference across the face. For non-orthogonal meshes, a correction term may be necessary

$$\mathbf{s}_f \bullet (\nabla \phi)_f = |\mathbf{s}_f| \frac{\phi_N - \phi_P}{|\mathbf{d}_f|} + \mathbf{k}_f \bullet (\nabla \phi)_f$$

Diffusion Operator

Limiting Non-Orthogonal Correction in a Laplacian

- Decomposition of face gradient into "orthogonal component" and "non-orthogonal correction" depends on mesh quality: mesh non-orthogonality is measured from $\overline{PN}$ and $\mathbf{s}_f$

- Mathematically, a Laplacian is a perfect operator: smooth, bounded, self-adjoint. Its discretisation yields a symmetric matrix

- In contrast, non-orthogonal correction is explicit, unbounded and unsigned

- Limited non-orthogonal correction: explicit part clipped to be smaller than its implicit counterpart, base on the current solution

$$\lambda \frac{|\mathbf{s}_f|}{|\mathbf{d}_f|} (\phi_N - \phi_P) > \mathbf{k}_f \bullet \nabla(\phi)_f$$

where λ is the limiter value

- Treatment of mesh non-orthogonality over 90°: mesh is formally invalid
  - This corresponds to a Laplacian operator with negative diffusion
  - Stabilise the calculation and remove non-orthogonal correction term
  - Note: This is a "rescue procedure": reconsider mesh and results!

Source and Sink Terms

Handling of Source and Sink Terms

- "Source and sink terms need to be handled on their own merit": simple linearisation rules apply only without analysis of physics

- Linearisation of the source term

$$S(\phi) = Su - Sp\,\phi \quad Su, Sp \geq 0$$

- The Sp sink term increases diagonal dominance and **promotes boundedness**

$$Sp = max\left(\frac{\partial S(\phi)}{\partial \phi}, 0\right)$$

- Rationale: illustration of **The Curious Property of an Empty Box**

- In many cases, sources are actually concealed transport terms. Example: regress variable in combustion: the sink term is actually a flame propagation (advection)

$$\frac{\partial(\rho b)}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\bullet}(\rho \mathbf{u}\, b) = -\rho S_l |\nabla b|$$

Boundedness and Boundary Conditions

Bounded Formulation of Convection and Diffusion Boundary Conditions

- Discretisation of convection and diffusion boundary conditions follows directly from first principles: prescribed boundary value and boundary gradient appear as "cell" source and sink terms

- Analysis equivalent to source term treatment applies; also consider boundedness
  - Fixed value convection outlets (for scalars) directly lead to instability
  - Value and gradient terms in the diffusion operator may lead either to loss of stability or violation of boundedness

- Note: the pressure-velocity system may be more forgiving: pressure equation and global mass conservation

Boundary Conditions in Compressible Flows

- . . . need to be examined strictly from physics standpoint!

- Method of characteristics: number of incoming and outgoing eigen-components

- Some formulations are physically impossible, eg. subsonic inlet with supersonic outlet boundaries

Discretisation Settings

Proposed Settings for Hexahedral Meshes

- Gradient scheme: Gauss or Gauss with limiters
- Convection scheme
  - In initial settings or unknown mesh quality, always start with Upwind. If this fails, there are problems elsewhere in case setup
  - Momentum equation: for second order, recommend linear upwind. with optional gradient limiters
  - TVD/NVD schemes for bounded scalars (eg. turbulence); optionally, use deferred correction formulation
- Diffusion scheme: settings depend on max non-orthogonality
  - Below 60 deg, no special practice: Gauss linear corrected
  - Above 70 deg, non-orthogonality limiter: Gauss linear limited 0.5
- In all cases, monitor boundedness of scalars and adjust convection and diffusion schemes to remove bounding messages

Discretisation Settings

Proposed Settings for Tetrahedral Meshes

- On tetrahedral meshes, cell neighbourhood is minimal: a tet has only 4 neighbours

- Skewness and non-orthogonality errors are larger and with substantial effect on the solution: it is essential to re-adjust the discretisation

- Gradient scheme: least squares; in most cases without limiters

- Convection scheme
    - On simple cases, use upwinding; nature of discretisation error changes due to lack of mesh-to-flow alignment
    - For highly accurate simulations, special (reconstructed) schemes are used

- Diffusion scheme: always with non-orthogonality limiters. Control limiter based on boundedness messages on scalars

Summary

Summary

- Discretisation settings in tutorials are a good starting point

- Variation in mesh structure (tetrahedral, hexahedral and polyhedral) means that no single choice will work for all meshes

- In complex physics, consider physical properties of variables: boundedness and conservation

- OpenFOAM is regularly set up for high accuracy rather than convergence to steady-state: The fact that a solver converges does not necessarily mean the results are correct (or physical!)

- "Special applications" like LES require additional care: energy conserving numerics, low diffusion and dispersion errors

- Guidance provided for main mesh types: hex and tet. Polyhedral meshes use hex settings

- Further complications may be introduced by moving mesh and topological changes